Tall Buildings (CE72.32 - Section A)
Total Students (Credit) : 109   Forms Received: 97   Participation: 89%
Faculty 1: Dr. Naveed Anwar

Course Characteristics:	Strongly Disagree (%)	Disagree (%)	Neutral (%)	Agree (%)	Strongly Agree (%)
1.Course syllabus clearly defined learning objectives, session-wise topics, readings, class activity (case, assignment, exercises/problems, lab etc.).	0	0	6.19	15.46	78.35

Comments on Course Characteristics:
The topics covered are up to date. This type of practical course should be updated with time.
This subject is very interesting and very popular over the world. I get so many knowledge from this subject.
It would be better if we can incorporate non- linear analysis in the course.
Clearly defined course outlines and taught us in the excellent way and finished it on time. Group assignments, individual assignments and group project really improved our knowledge in this subject.

Course Delivery/Teaching Methods/Resource Materials:	Strongly Disagree (%)	Disagree (%)	Neutral (%)	Agree (%)	Strongly Agree (%)
2.Assignments/homework/projects were effective for learning.	0.00	2.06	7.22	27.84	62.89
3.Teaching methods were effective for learning.	0.00	1.03	9.28	29.90	59.79
4.Teaching resources were available and useful for learning.	0.00	1.03	10.31	27.84	60.82
5.Level of online and information technology resources were acceptable and useful.	0.00	0.00	12.37	22.68	64.95
6.Exam questions were challenging and not repetitions of past few years' exams.	0.00	1.03	7.22	26.80	64.95
7.Course met my learning expectations.	0.00	2.06	8.25	31.96	57.73

Comments on Course Delivery/Teaching Methods/Resource Materials:

Instructor(s) Characteristics:	Strongly Disagree (%)	Disagree (%)	Neutral (%)	Agree (%)	Strongly Agree (%)
8.Instructor showed respect for individual differences of students.	0.00	1.03	6.19	23.71	69.07
9.Instructor was available to me for consultation outside the class in office hours.	0.00	6.19	16.49	25.77	51.55
10.Instructor encouraged students to ask questions and participate in discussion.	0.00	0.00	6.19	24.74	69.07
11.Instructor communicated clearly while teaching and interacting with students.	0.00	0.00	7.22	24.74	68.04
12.Instructor was organized and well-prepared for class.	0.00	0.00	8.25	25.77	64.95
13.Instructor used real life cases/examples to illustrate practical application of concepts/theories.	0.00	0.00	5.15	17.53	77.32
14.Instructor's feedback on assignments/tests helped me in learning & perform better in the course.	0.00	3.09	10.31	34.02	52.58
15.Instructor had up-to-date knowledge of the subject.	0.00	0.00	4.12	16.49	79.38
16.Instrutor was excellent as I learnt a lot from him/her.	0.00	0.00	9.28	19.59	71.13

Comments:
Very creative teacher with good sense of humor. Lectures given by him were very interesting.
I have communication problem sometimes.
Very wonderful teacher. taught us at really good standard level. Special lectures from industry experts were conducted by him, really improved our sense about the subject and motivated us. He is one of the legend of structural department due to his style.
Dr. Naveed is a good teacher and has good knowledge of what he is teaching to students.

Overall Assessment/Areas for Improvement:

My performance can be improved by following instructor's guidelines and by learning more references concerning with the course. Moreover, assignments helped me in learning and performing better in the course.
I receive and learn cultural diversity and involve in social activities that lead me to be ready for my future profession.
Need to learn more from group projects.